

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,949	06/25/2003	Dirk Palenik	60027.0190USU1/BS# 030078	3137
39262	7590 11/06/2006		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD BELLSOUTH CORPORATION P.O. BOX 2903			WILSON, YOLANDA L	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2113	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,949	PALENIK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yolanda L. Wilson	2113
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oct 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10,15,16 and 37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 15,16 and 37 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration.  r election requirement. r.	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/603,949 Page 2

Art Unit: 2113

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant states in the newly added limitation in claim 7 'wherein the computer system includes an external network'. The Examiner is unsure as to what is being referred to here. An external network cannot be included in a computer system. Either the computer system needs to be included in an external network or an external network interface/external communications interface needs to be claimed here. Claims 8-10 are rejected as well for being dependent upon claim 7.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 15,16,37 are allowed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 7,9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Westell.
- 6. As per claim 7, Westell discloses Westell discloses at the computer system, utilizing a computer-implemented application to perform one or more checks on the

Application/Control Number: 10/603,949 Page 3

Art Unit: 2113

computer system and communications device; at the computer system, detecting from the one or more checks whether there is a problem related to operation of the communications device with the computer system; at the computer system, locating a troubleshooting tip corresponding to the problem detected from the one or more checks; at the computer system, displaying the located troubleshooting tip on pages 24-26, under the Diagnostics section and on pages 44-48, under the Troubleshooting section. The computer-implemented application is the diagnostics pages that contain all of the tests to be performed on the computer system for the modern. The error code is the message displayed after the test is performed.

The limitation wherein the computer system includes an external network is disclosed on page 1, under Minimum System Requirements. Examiner is assuming that the computer system needs an external communications interface/external network interface. In this case it is an Ethernet connection which is disclosed that the computer system the modem is connected has to have.

- 7. As per claim 9, As per claim 4, Westell discloses wherein the one or more checks includes checking operational parameters of the communications device on page 25, under the Diagnostics section.
- 8. As per claim 10, Westell discloses wherein the communications device is a digital subscriber line modem on page 25, under the Diagnostics section.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/603,949 Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 3,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Westell in view of Li et al. (US Publication Number 20040078708A1).
- 11. As per claim 8, Westell fails to explicitly state wherein the one or more checks includes checking a connection between the computer system and the communications device.

Li et al. discloses this limitation on page 2, paragraph 22, lines 10-15.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the one or more checks include checking a connection between the computer system and the communications device. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the one or more checks include checking a connection between the computer system and the communications device because a determination as to whether or not a cable is properly connected to the computer and modem is made.

#### Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-10 have been considered but are most in view of the reference Westell used to reject the added limitation in claim 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,949

Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda L. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Art Unit 2113